SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492



1	make that representation, I might agree with you that
2	the need for a injunction is unnecessary, and then
3	also the need for a stay might be appropriate if
4	there is no need for an injunction. Is the Attorney
5	General interested in making that representation?
6	MS. SERAFIMOVA: Well, Your Honor, we would
7	be I think we would consider making that
8	commitment if Voter Reference would, in exchange,
9	agree to take down the voter data from their website.
10	THE COURT: I doubt Voter Reference is
11	going to make that deal. So if they don't make that
12	deal, is the Attorney General unable or unwilling to
13	make the representation that it will not prosecute
14	during the pendency of either the case or the appeal?
15	MS. SERAFIMOVA: Well, obviously, I you
16	know, that's a decision for my client that I cannot
17	make for them on the spot. But at the same time the
18	question comes up: Why would we agree to do that?
19	If we're not if there is no compromise on the
20	table, why would we agree?
21	We do believe, again, as explained in the
22	motion, we do believe that legally we're both
23	required to and entitled to prosecute anyone for
24	violating the New Mexico Election Code. And it's our
25	position that the injunction preventing us from doing

Reference Foundation to the Secretary of State's 1 2 Office, and our denial of that request, meaning the 3 Secretary of State's denial. The request said -- and 4 again, we are just relying on the language in the 5 letter that Mr. Greim submitted -- the request said: Some information will be uploaded to the website, but 6 7 voters' personal data or personal information will not be uploaded until we get an order by the Court. 8 9 And, of course, they don't tell us what 10 they consider to be personal information. And in any 11 event, the statute, 1-4-5.5 says -- I'm sorry, 5.6 --12 says that it is unlawful to upload any voter data. 13 Not personal information of voters, not whatever 14 Voter Reference Foundation may think should be confidential, but voter data. And voter data is a 15 defined term, and it says, "Any information derived 16 17 from the registration, certificate." And so essentially in their letter, Mr. Greim let us know in 18 19 no uncertain terms that they intend to upload some 20 voter data as that term is defined under New Mexico 21 law. 22 And so we let them know that we cannot 23 provide the voter data because it would be a violation of 5.6 for them to upload it. And if we 24

know that they intend to do that, that's essentially

25

that participants in the program disclose to -- we 1 2 don't know whom on their end -- the fact that they're in the program. 3 That person now has that knowledge. 4 They are responsible to take them down off the 5 website. But there is no -- we don't know what kind 6 of security requirement they have, if any. They 7 could be hacked at any point, for example. identities of these individuals could become public, 8 9 and so on. 10 And so this is simply not workable. 11 website is problematic on so many levels. And we do 12 feel that the public interest factor does weigh 13 strongly in staying the injunction until, again, we 14 have a final decision on the law by the Court of 15 Appeals. 16 And I'm happy to answer any other questions 17 that the Court might have. 18 THE COURT: Let's go back to that first 19 point about the merits. Are you saying that there is 20 no prior restraint because there is no threat of 21 prosecution? 22 MS. SERAFIMOVA: No, Your Honor. I am 23 saying there is no prior restraint because the Attorney General's Office -- first, the Attorney 24 25 General's Office interprets Section 5.6 the same way



1	that the Secretary of State Office interprets it.
2	And the Court has not held that that interpretation
3	isn't constitutional.
4	So the Attorney General's Office is
5	required by statute to fulfill its responsibilities
6	of prosecuting any suspected violations of the New
7	Mexico Election Code. And that's what they're doing.
8	And the Court has never found and again I
9	mean and I've read the order, as I know all of us
10	have but the Court did not hold that the threat of
11	prosecution, first of all, is the issue. The Court
12	said that it was the Secretary of State's Office act
13	of making that threat public; that if they had not
14	done that somehow, there would have been a different
15	outcome.
16	But setting that aside, the Attorney
17	General's Office again, if there is no First
18	Amendment violation, there cannot be a prior
19	restraint. If there is no protected speech, there
20	cannot be a prior restraint.
21	THE COURT: All right. Anything else,
22	Ms. Serafimova?
23	MS. SERAFIMOVA: No. But again, Your
24	Honor, the Court has not found that our
25	interpretation of the statute is unconstitutional,



not the case here. 5.5 and 5.6, and our 1 2 interpretation of both of those sections, have not 3 been found to be unconstitutional. So, yes, the 4 Attorney General's Office has the statutory mandate 5 to enforce New Mexico law, including the Election Code. And if we believe that a violation is 6 7 occurring -- "we" meaning the Attorney General's Office -- yes, we are entitled and required to 8 9 prosecute. But, again, unless there is either an 10 unconstitutional statute or some other violation by 11 the Attorney General's Office of plaintiff's rights, the Attorney General's Office simply cannot be 12 13 enjoined from doing its job. This is an issue of 14 comity, an issue of state rights. The Attorney General's Office is an 15 16 independent entity under state law, under the New 17 Mexico Constitution and statutes. It has no control 18 over the Secretary of State's Office, and vice versa.

And by the way, the suggestion that there has been political pressure from the Secretary of State's Office on the Attorney General's Office, that's where I was trying to lodge an objection, that is not in the evidence. That is Mr. Greim's personal opinion, and has no place in today's hearing or in the record or in the Court's decision making process.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492

